BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

VOLUNTARY SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN)
CENTRAL VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., AND SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.))
SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE) Case No. 19-00211-UT
COMPANY AND CENTRAL VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.)
APPLICANTS.)) FILED IN OFFICE OF
	THED IN OFFICE OF
	JUL 2 4 2019
	No resplic regulation comm

DIRECT TESTIMONY

UARRUT PREMIENTALISCO CONTRA

of

WADE NELSON

on behalf of

CENTRAL VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

1 I		INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
-----	--	--

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Wade Nelson. My business address is Central Valley Electric Cooperative,
- Inc. ("CVEC"), 1403 North Thirteenth Street, Artesia, NM 88210
- 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT POSITION?
- 6 A. I am employed by CVEC. My job title is Manager of Distribution Engineering.
- 7 Q. DESCRIBE YOU CURRENT POSITION AND JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?
- 8 A. My duties include managing the Distribution Engineering Department and Warehouse,
- 9 overseeing material and contracts and acquiring rights-of-way. I am also responsible for
- the engineering of distribution and transmission lines.
- 11 Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY REGULATORY AUTHORITIES?
- 12 A. No. I have not testified before a regulatory authority.
- 13 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain the boundary issue that arose between CVEC
- and Southwestern Public Service Company ("SPS"). Both CVEC and SPS have the right to
- serve customers. Over the course of several years, issues periodically arose when
- particular customers requested service as to whether such service would be provided by
- 18 CVEC or SPS. Both CVEC and SPS recognize that as a public utility, each has an obligation
- to serve within its service territory, but neither was certain as to how to proceed when
- 20 there are issues about whether a particular customer is in the CVEC and SPS service
- 21 territory. To avoid potential disputes regarding CVE's and SPS's obligation to serve

- customers within this particular area, both parties negotiated and agreed to the Boundary Agreement.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ISSUE THAT NECESSITATED A BOUNDARY
 AGREEMENT.
- 5 A. A boundary issue arose between CVEC and SPS as a result of SPS constructing a 6 distribution line extension to a requested load by crossing an existing distribution line owned by CVEC. After CVEC filed a complaint with the New Mexico Public Regulation 7 Commission ("Commission"), CVEC and SPS began working on a boundary 8 9 agreement. Both parties have negotiated and agreed to the Boundary Agreement 10 ensuring both CVEC and SPS meet the obligation to serve consumers by providing 11 reliable electric service in a safe manner without confusion and to avoid potential 12 disputes. CVEC and SPS both have the right to serve specific consumers within the areas depicted in Exhibit A and Exhibit B of the Boundary Agreement ("Boundary Area"). 13

14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROCESS FOLLOWED TO REACH A SOLUTION.

A. Representatives of CVEC and SPS met regularly over a period of time and agreed to have their respective engineering departments study the subdivision and attempt to arrive at a mutual agreement as to a boundary division that would best serve the consumers. The goal was to provide for the most efficient use of existing resources to assure there would be adequate and reliable service to customers and to minimize the exchange or modification of service territory between the utilities.

21

20

15

16

17

18

19

22

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE AGREEMENT THAT WAS REACHED.

- 2 A. The Boundary Agreement addresses four different issues within the Boundary Area. 3 First, it establishes the boundary line between both utilities in the area in question. 4 Second, it authorizes the utilities to continue to maintain and operate their existing facilities located in the other utility's service area. Third, it identifies the location of 5 6 CVEC's or SPS's distribution lines that currently extend outside of their service area and 7 cross into the other utility's service area. Finally, it establishes rules and procedures to be 8 followed by both utilities in a situation when a customer requests initial or additional 9 service in the Boundary Area. Q. THIRD PARTIES PRECLUDED FROM POSSIBLY EXTENDING
- 10 11 ELECTRIC SERVICE INTO THE BOUNDARY AREA?
- 12 A. No. The Boundary Agreement only applies to electric service provided by CVEC and 13 SPS. This agreement does not bind or otherwise affect the rights of third parties.
- 14 Q. PLEASE STATE WHY THIS VOLUNTARY BOUNDARY AGREEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND THE INTEREST OF CVEC AND ITS 15 16 MEMBERS.
- This agreement will provide the most cost-effective utilization of resources and the safest 17 A. 18 solution to provide electrical service to the Boundary Area. This Boundary Agreement 19 will allow service to be provided to the consumers from facilities already in place. 20 Efficiently using existing facilities will minimize the need by either CVEC or SPS for 21 new construction of facilities that otherwise would have been required and will be cost 22 effective. It also eliminates the possibility of future disputes and the expense of hearings 23 and litigation that might result.

1 Q. WILL THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY AGREEMENT ADVERSELY IMPACT

- 2 THOSE CUSTOMERS CURRENTLY SERVED BY CVEC?
- 3 A. No. This Boundary Agreement will not negatively affect any existing customer of either
- 4 CVEC or SPS.
- 5 Q. DOES THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY AGREEMENT COMPLY WITH
- 6 APPLICABLE LAWS?
- 7 A. Yes. NMSA 1978, §62-9-7 of the Public Utility Act ("PUA") authorizes the Commission
- 8 to approve voluntary service agreements filed with the Commission if, after a public
- 9 hearing upon at least 20 days notice, the Commission determines that the proposed
- delineation service areas are consistent with the public convenience and necessity and
- otherwise conforms to the PUA.
- 12 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY AGREEMENT
- 13 SATISFIES THIS STANDARD?
- 14 A. Yes. I believe that the Boundary Agreement is in the public convenience and necessity,
- because it allows for the most efficient use of existing resources, it provides for a
- boundary alignment which will allow both utilities to locate lines in areas that are safe for
- the public, and it minimizes the exchange of service territory between the parties with no
- impact on existing customers of either utility. Moreover, the proposed voluntary
- Boundary Agreement eliminates the potential expense of complex hearings and litigation
- and eliminates delay in the planning and constructing of utility facilities. It also promotes
- certainty for CVEC and SPS and their customers, and generally, encourages utility
- investment to provide for the construction, development and extension, without
- unnecessary duplication and economic waste of proper utility facilities for service to

1		customers. Accordingly, the Boundary Agreement is fair and reasonable, consistent with			
2		the public convenience and necessity, and in the best interest of both utilities and their			
3		customers.			
4	Q.	IF THE COMMISSION APPROVES THE VOLUNTARY BOUNDARY			
5		AGREEMENT, WILL ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC			
6		CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY BE REQUIRED FOR CVEC AND SPS TO			
7		PROVIDE SERVICE IN EACH DESIGNATED AREA?			
8	A.	No. Both CVEC and SPS currently have the requisite authority to provide service in the			
9		areas specified in the voluntary Boundary Agreement. However, if for any reason, the			
10		Commission determines that CVEC or SPS needs additional authority to serve the areas			
11		established in the Boundary Agreement, the parties request that the Commission grant			
12		such additional authority in this case.			
13	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?			
14	A.	Yes.			

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

	VOLUNTARY SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CENTRAL VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., AND SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.))) Case No. 19-00211-UT))	
	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND CENTRAL VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.		
	APPLICANTS.))	
1 AFFIDAVIT OF WADE NELSON		ELSON	
2			
3 4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)		
5 6 7	COUNTY OF EDDY) ss.		
8	I have read the foregoing Direct Testimony, and it is	true and accurate based on my own	
9	knowledge and belief.	,	
10 11 12 13 14		Wade Nelson	
15 16	SUBSCRIBED and sworn to me before this 23 rd of July 201	9.	
17 18 19 20 21	OFFICIAL SEAL JOANNA CALLAWAY NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW MEXICO My commission expires: 2/25/2021	NOTARY PUBLIC	
22 23 24	My Commission Expires: 02-25-2021	,	